Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	, 09/935,846	ITO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.	(3)	
(2) Paul Skwierawski (Appl Rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 August 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-3, 7-12		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Various changes in the claims were agreed upon in order to allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The examiner will provide a writerate record of the substance of the</li> </ul>	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
, y ipp	and the same confidence and a	Gramma nappropriate)